UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,020	06/08/2006	Bernd Kirchner	BAW-0020	1805
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 04/23/200 BURN, LLP	EXAMINER		
20 Church Street 22nd Floor			BUI, BRYAN	
Hartford, CT 06	5103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2863	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/582,020	KIRCHNER, BERND			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bryan Bui	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stal Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Poply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte	-			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>9-11</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and complete to the subject to restriction and complete the	rawn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/582,020 Page 2

Art Unit: 2863

1. Applicant's paper filed in RCE on 4/2/2008 has been received and entered.

2. Applicant's remark corresponding to status of the claimed invention response to previous final rejection has been considered, but it is not persuasive for independent claim 9. Since the performance of a method for carrying out a non-destructive inspection as claimed by applicant in pre-ample of the claim is not complete to provide any feature corresponding to standardized data processing program has ability to establishing an inspection scheme correspondence with respective test instrument are used for inspection (already mentioned in the advisory action before).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 9 fails to point out the subject matter of the claimed invention in method for carrying out non-destructive inspection in the result of the claim which is corresponding to the performing of a standardized data processing program to be used for inspection.
- 5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are (after step of "selecting a manner of storing and archiving the results"): establishing an inspection scheme in predetermined

Application/Control Number: 10/582,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

settings with respective test instruments thereof to be used for determining the nondestructive inspection.

6. All dependent claims also rejected based on the rejections of based claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 9-11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 1-8 are indicating allowable over the prior art of record because none of the prior art of record discloses the claimed combination as recited. (see the previous office action and applicant's remark response for reason).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-2271.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7am-4pm, and Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/582,020 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bryan Bui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2863